

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,966	05/04/2001	Richard L. Cunningham	IMD008	6935	
75	90 08/15/2003			`	
Immersion Corporation			EXAMINER		
801 Fox Lane San Jose, CA	131		PATEL,	NITIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2673		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	pplicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/848,9	66	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.	
		Examine		Art Unit	
		Nitin Pate	əl	2673	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaction. ays, a reply within the statery period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may utory minimum of t ill expire SIX (6) M dication to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	December to communication/s) filed	27 14 2002			
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed		<i>r</i> . ,		
2a)⊠	<i>'</i>	☐ This action is			
3)⊡ Disposit	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	•		* •	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the app	olication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.		
Applicat	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objecti				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)□ a	pproved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this O	ffice action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received in	Application No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d	lomestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.(c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)).
15) 🗌 /) The translation of the foreign languates The translation of the foreign languates of a claim for the translation of the trans	•	•		
Attachmen			л. —		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
I.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summa	у	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application/Control Number: 09/848,966

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beeks (U.S. Patent No. 6,373,463) in view of Sinclair et al., (US 5,766,016).

As per claims 1,16,24,35 Beeks shows a method updating data value associated with a cursor displayed in a graphical environment of a host computer based on manipulation of at least a portion of an object coupled to the host computer (In col.5 lines 7-37) and outputting haptic feedback associated with a simulated within a graphical environment (in Col.4 lines 20-34 and In lines 35-67). Beeks does not a show a palpation region to do a haptic feedback. Sinclair shows a surgical simulator with tactile feedback (In Abstract and In col.4 lines 58-67 to Col.5 lines 1-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made to allow the teaching of Sinclair's into the system of Beek's because it would have allow a use to controlling the position of the cursor in relation to the graphical representation position of the mouse and controlling a graphical representation of a human body part in relation to an amount of manipulation of the mouse.

As per claims 2-7,17-23,25 Beeks does not show the application program which host computer includes having a palpation training program and first and second haptic

Application/Control Number: 09/848,966

Art Unit: 2673

sensation when cursor interact with a second region of a user hand that stimulate a use pulse. Sinclair shows (In Fig.3 element 22 and In col.6 lines5-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the invention was made to allow the teaching of Sinclair's into the system of Beeks's because it would have allow the user to a realistically interact with the graphical environment.

As per claims 8-11,26,43 Beeks shows haptic feedback with a vibration, force (In Col.4 lines 20-65).

As per claims 11,27,42,44 Beeks shows the object comprises a mouse (In col.3 lines 47-49).

As per claims 12-15,28-34,45-54 Beeks shows actuator coupled to a mouse to simulate feedback that capable of causing the grounded linkage to apply feedback to the user (In Col.4 lines 35-67 to Col.5 lines 1-67).

As per claims 36-41, Beeks does not specifically show computer readable medium is a portable compact disk or a DVD, which could be read over a network. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made that most program are stored on a magnetic disk that could be a Hard drive or a disk or a DVD which be connected to a network to share information or get information from a network server.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-7024. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin H Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9052 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9618. offmill

NP

August 10, 2003

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER